

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") of Monument Mining Limited ("Monument" or the "Company") as of September 28, 2012 should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the year ended June 30, 2012 and related notes thereto which have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS" or "GAAP" as issued by the International Accounting Standards board ("IASB")). Previously, the Company prepared its annual consolidated financial statements in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles ("Canadian GAAP"). The Company's 2011 comparatives in this MD&A have been restated and presented in accordance with IFRS. This MD&A contains "forward-looking statements" and the non-GAAP performance measure "unit cash cost per ounce sold" that are subject to risk factors set out in a cautionary note contained herein. All figures are in United States dollars unless otherwise noted. References to "C\$" or "CAD" are to Canadian dollars.

Additional information relating to the Company's activities may be found on the Company's website at www.monumentmining.com and at www.sedar.com.

1.1 Overview

Monument, a company listed on the TSX Venture Exchange ("TSX-V": MMY) and the Frankfurt Stock Exchange ("FSE":D7Q1), is a resource company engaged in acquisition, exploration and development of mineral properties as well as production including extraction and processing ores. Its primary business objective is to advance its mineral projects from exploration and development to production, and to increase its gold and other mineral assets through acquisition of prospective land or gold and other mineral projects at an advanced development stage. The Company's Head Office is located in Vancouver, BC, Canada. It operates through its subsidiaries in Pahang State, Malaysia.

The Company's 100% owned primary gold properties are located in Pahang State, the Central Gold Belt of Western Malaysia including Selinsing Gold Project ("Selinsing"), Buffalo Reef Prospect ("Buffalo Reef") and Famehub properties ("Famehub"). While the gold production at Selinsing continued generating healthy cash flow in fiscal 2012, management focused on diversified growth and sustainable operations through acquisitions, expansion of gold production capacity, and further advancing its gold resources.

Acquisitions

In September 2012, the Company acquired 49% of **Mersing Gold Project** through an earn-in agreement, with the right to earn up to 100% interest, which has potential to host primary gold mineralization. The Mersing Gold project is about 300km southeast of the Selinsing Gold Mine.

In November 2011 the Company acquired 100% common shares of Star Destiny Sdn. Bhd., which holds an exploration permit pertaining to prospective land totaling 750 hectares in Pahang State, Malaysia as a stepping stone for business expansion and diversification based on its current portfolio. The property contains a part of Polymetallic ore body adjacent to the west and south of the Mengapur mining lease held by Cermat Aman Sdn. Bhd. in which the Company acquired 70% of the interest thereafter in February, 2012. Both properties together form the entire **Mengapur Polymetallic Project** (the "Mengapur Project").

The Mengapur Project is located in Pahang State, Malaysia and contains multiple minerals including copper, gold and silver, etc. according to a historical feasibility study performed in early 1990s. The Company's management believes this project might introduce significant economic prospects that can add potential value to its shareholders and diversify their investment risk. The due diligence on the Mengapur Project commenced in August 2011. Snowden Mining Industry Consultants ("Snowden") was engaged by the Company to prepare a NI 43-101 compliant Mengapur Project technical report presenting historical data on the Mengapur Project completed in November 2011. The Mengapur Project technical report and its amendment were SEDAR filed in December 2011 and February 2012 as amended (refer to www.sedar.com).

The Company intends to fund the Mengapur Project acquisition and development partially through a CAD\$70 million private placement. The Private Placement may create the proposed placee, Tulum Corporation Ltd., as a "control person", who is, defined under applicable rules of the TSX-V, acquiring more than 20% of the Company's issued and outstanding shares at the closing of the Private Placement. At the General and Special Meeting held on December 30, 2011, shareholders approved proposed Mengapur Acquisition and the control person to private placement up to CAD\$70 million (the "Private Placement").

On February 21, 2012, the Company announced that it has closed the acquisition using cash on hand upon receipt of the TSX-V's acceptance. The Private Placement for the development of the Mengapur Project was pending the acceptance of TSX-Venture Exchange (the "TSX-V") and was later on refilled with the TSX-V for the same placee Tulum Corporation Ltd.

Gold Production

Gold production in fiscal 2012 from the Selinsing gold mine was 44,585 ounces (oz) in line with fiscal 2011 production of 44,438oz. Gross revenue of \$61,709,264 were generated from sales of 36,938oz of gold at an average price of \$1,671 per ounce in fiscal 2012 compared to \$52,379,851 from sales of 40,438 oz of gold at an average price of \$1,400 per ounce in fiscal 2011. The Gold price continues to bless the profitability and strong cash flow generation which is supportive to the Company's projected business expansion.

Contrast to 19% increase in realized selling price per ounce, cash cost per ounce increased by 26% to \$306/oz in fiscal 2012 compared to \$242/oz in fiscal 2011 driven by rising milling costs. During fiscal 2012, a detox plant was built into the processing circuit. In addition commissioning of the primary ball mill and three added leach tanks caused interruption of plant operation in the fourth quarter. A small quantity of sulfide materials were milled during the fourth quarter and the discharged un-leached ores were stockpiled for further investigation of recoverability in the Cyanide in Leach ("CIL") circuit.

In order to sustain and enhance future production, the Company commenced and commissioned the Phase III gold processing plant expansion during fiscal 2012 at the Selinsing Gold mine. Up to August 2012, subsequent to the year ended June 30, 2012, the upgraded plant has operated to its designed capacity continuously for 30 consecutive days. The upgraded plant has increased the processing capacity from 400,000 tonnes per annum ("tpa") to approximately 1,000,000 tpa. In conjunction with the Phase III expansion, the Tailing Storage Facility (the "TSF") upgrade was completed in December 2011 to accommodate 10 years discharge from the 1 million tpa gold treatment plant.

Exploration

During the year period ended June 30, 2012, exploration at Selinsing and Buffalo Reef has produced a total of 14,245 drill meters comprised of 14,105 diamond drill metres and 140 RC drill metres. Increased productivity from the in-house drill team as result of coaching programs provided to the drillers in the first half of fiscal 2012.

The in-house drill program is aimed to convert the current inferred gold resources at Selinsing and Buffalo Reef to NI 43-101 compliant measured and indicated reserves and increases the total resources on those properties to support sustainable gold production. Selinsing drilling program focused on definition drilling and metallurgy testing of the Selinsing Deeps area that lies below the ultimate open-pit mine plan (400.0m RL elevation). The drill program at Buffalo Reef focused on definition drilling of the north, south and central areas and metallurgical testing of the Buffalo Reef South sulfide ores.

Snowden Mining Industry Consultants ("Snowden") was originally engaged to update the resources based on drill results and continuous preliminary geological and metallurgical studies. Due to timing constraints, it was reassigned to Mr. Karl T. Swanson and Practical Mining LLC. Laurion Consulting Inc. is engaged for metallurgical test work. The revised Selinsing and Buffalo Reef resource and reserve determination is being targeted for completion of a NI43-101 technical report as result of the drill program is expected to complete in October 2012.

Fiscal 2012 drilling at Mengapur Project was designed to determine the continuity of mineralization, explore untested areas and to test deeper mineralization targets below the proposed pit shells. As at June 30, 2012, 74 drill holes totaling 13,876m had been completed. The majority of the drilling consists of diamond drill core (11,360m) with lesser reverse circulation (2,516 m).

Fiscal 2012 Financial and Operating Highlights

(1) Key gold production statistics

| Selinsing Gold Mine | Fiscal 2010 | Fiscal 2011 | Q1 2012 | Q2 2012 | Q3 2012 | Q4 2012 | Fiscal 2012 |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|
| Operating results | | | | | | | |
| Ore mined (tonnes) | 662,330 | 740,909 | 124,736 | 128,557 | 140,168 | 108,420 | 501,881 |
| Ore processed (tonnes) | 272,120 | 351,999 | 86,343 | 84,182 | 83,447 | 110,708 | 364,680 |
| Average ore head grade (g/t Au) | 3.08 | 4.31 | 4.53 | 5.25 | 3.37 | 3.87 | 4.24 |
| Process recovery rate | 58.7% | 92.9% | 95.1% | 95.3% | 93.3% | 91.1% | 93.7% |
| Gold production (ounces) | 13,793 | 44,438 | 11,846 | 11,736 | 10,676 | 10,327 | 44,585 |
| Gold sold (ounces) | 13,793 | 40,438 | 8,372 | 12,765 | 7,301 | 8,500 | 36,938 |

| | Fiscal 2010 | Fiscal 2011 | Q1 2012 | Q2 2012 | Q3 2012 | Q4 2012 | Fiscal 2012 |
|---|----------------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|
| Selinsing Gold Mine | | | | | | | |
| Financial results | | | | | | | |
| Gold sales (US\$'000) ^(a) | 16,316 | 56,627 | 14,430 | 21,084 | 12,394 | 13,801 | 61,709 |
| <i>Per ounce data</i> | | | | | | | |
| Cash cost per ounce | 216 | 242 | 297 | 307 | 303 | 316 | 306 |
| Average London spot gold price, US\$/ounce | 1,089 | 1,372 | 1,702 | 1,688 | 1,691 | 1,609 | 1,673 |
| Average realized gold price, US\$/ounce | 1,183 | 1,400 | 1,724 | 1,652 | 1,698 | 1,624 | 1,671 |

(a) Three month period ended September 30, 2010 includes an amount of gold sold before the commercial production commenced.

- (2) On August 16, 2012 the Company announced the completion of the Phase III Gold Plant Expansion. The Phase III expansion began on September 6, 2011 with budget of \$8.1 million and completed in June 2012 on time at total cost of approximately \$8.7 million, including installation of an additional crusher, a primary ball mill, three additional leach tanks, and other improvements to the gold room, detox circuit, tailing pipelines and pumping system. The expansion increased capacity of the gold treatment plant from 400,000 tonnes per annum ("tpa") to approximately 1,000,000 tpa.
- (3) On November 21, 2011, the Company acquired a 100% of fully-paid shares of Star Destiny Sdn Bhd which held a prospective land containing a part of the historic Polymetalic ore body adjacent to the west and south of the Mengapur Project.
- (4) On February 21, 2012, upon receipt of the TSX-V acceptance, the Company announced that it has closed the acquisition of a 70% interest in the Mengapur Polymetalic Project located in Pahang State, Malaysia; the private placement of up to CAD\$70 million for the Mengapur Project development was pending acceptance of TSX-V and the application was re-filed with the TSX-V subsequent to the year end.
- (5) On March 26, 2012, the Company informed the market it had broken up a gold stealing syndicate at the Selinsing Gold Mine in Malaysia. The Company has called in the Malaysian Police for an immediate investigation and engaged Gold Security Group from Perth, Australia to work with the Police and to review and strengthen security measures at the gold mine. In the opinion of the Company, this incident did not impact the production performance for the year ended June 30, 2012.
- (6) After Closing of the Mengapur acquisition, the Company announced a number of appointments of key positions including an additional board member, Chief Operating Officer, Vice President-Business Development, Vice President-Exploration, and Senior Managers for Investor Relations, security and processing plant. The appointments indicate a significant corporate development in the enhancement of the depth of the Company's management team in order to meet its commitment to shareholders to develop its mineral resources, especially the Mengapur Project, the government/community relations, security, processing plant, and shareholder communications and market exposure.
- (7) On June 19, 2012, the Company announced the iron ore dispute between its Malaysian subsidiaries (Monument Mengapur Sdn Bhd and CASB) and Phoenix Lake Sdn. Bhd. ("PLSB"). Parties are currently negotiating a settlement agreement to harmonize the iron ore operation on the Mengapur Project. Upon success of the settlement, both parties will withdraw from the litigation.

1.2.1 Property Agreements

Selinsing Gold Project

On June 25, 2007, through its wholly-owned Malaysian subsidiary, Polar Potential Sdn. Bhd., the Company acquired 100% of the Selinsing Gold Property including two mining concessions from Wira Mas Trust (the "Vendor"), together with a 100% interest in Able Return Sdn. Bhd., the former Trustee of Wira Mas Trust, a Malaysian company holding Malaysian Pioneer status which among other benefits provides a 5-year tax break from Malaysian Federal and other taxes. The property is located in Pahang State, Malaysia. The total acquisition cost of \$30.3 million (CAD 29.2million) was comprised of \$3.6 million (CAD 3.5 million) cash, a promissory note of \$9.3million (CAD 9.0million) fair valued at \$8.4million (CAD 8.1 million), the issuance of 31,400,009 fully paid treasury shares at an ascribed value of \$0.47 (CAD 0.50) per share and 5,000,000 share purchase warrants.

As at the year ended June 30, 2012, the Company acquired exploration rights from local settlers for consideration of \$4,508 (2011 - \$102,921), covering prospective land that lies adjacent to the Selinsing operating open pit, which allow for further exploration and mining to be carried to the depth of the current open pit. The exploration rights are subject to ultimate consent from the Federal Land Development Authority ("FELDA").

Buffalo Reef Prospect

Concurrent with the acquisition of the Selinsing Gold Project, the Company acquired 100% of the shares of Damar Consolidated Exploration Sdn. Bhd. ("Damar"), a company incorporated in Malaysia, from Avocet Mining PLC ("Avocet"), the parent company of Damar located in London, United Kingdom; thereby acquiring the Buffalo Reef property, which is contiguous and continuous with the Selinsing Gold Project for approximately 4.2 kilometers along the regional gold trend. A total of \$1.81million (CAD 1.75 million) cash, 15,000,000 fully paid treasury shares at \$0.47 (CAD 0.50) per share and 7,500,000 share purchase warrants were paid as consideration to acquire 100% of the Damar shares and an Avocet promissory note payable of \$1.78million (CAD 1.72 million).

As at the year ended June 30, 2011, the Company acquired exploration rights from local settlers for consideration of \$35,090, covering prospective land that lies adjacent to Buffalo Reef. The exploration rights are subject to ultimate consent from the Federal Land Development Authority ("FELDA").

Famehub Properties

On August 13, 2010, the "Agreement of Purchase and Sale of Shares" (the "Famehub Acquisition Agreement") was signed, under which the Company would acquire the land package held by Famehub Ventures Sdn. Bhd. ("Famehub") through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Damar Consolidated Exploration Sdn Bhd, by purchasing 100% of the issued and outstanding Famehub shares (the "Famehub acquisition"). Total consideration of \$4,884,634 (CAD 5,000,000) for the Famehub acquisition consists of \$1,477,734 (CAD 1,500,000) in cash and 14 million fully paid and non-assessable common shares of the Company valued at \$3,406,900 (CAD 3,500,000).

The Famehub acquisition closed on September 13, 2010, upon receipt of the TSX-V's acceptance and an independent technical report from Snowden Consulting Group (Australia) (SEDAR filed November 22, 2011).

Earn-In a 49% of interests of Mersing Gold Project

The Mersing Gold Project is held through mining certificate #1221 ("MC 1221") for 256 hectares of prospective land, located approximately 30 kilometers north-west of Mersing Town in Johore State, Malaysia. The original Malaysian Geological Survey identified a widespread geochemical anomaly that follows the north-west regional structural trend running for more than 30 kilometers, commencing near the beach at Kampung Sri Pantai running inland toward the Mersing Gold Project. The presence of widespread quartz veining within the highly deformed metasediments, sulphide mineralization and free gold showing in the vein material, and the evidence of substantial alluvial mining and processing activities indicate that the Mersing Gold Project has potential to host primary gold mineralization. To date, the area has not been systematically explored for its primary gold potential and no production details are available.

In September 2011 the Company signed an Earn-In Agreement with a Malaysian company, Emas Kehidupan Sdn. Bhd. ("EK") and its 30% joint venture partners, under which Monument has the right to earn or acquire up to a 100% interest in the "Mersing Gold Project" (previously known as the "Gunung Arong Gold Prospect"). Pursuant to the terms of Earn-in Agreement, Monument shall acquire 49% of the Mersing Project by paying \$500,000 cash and issuing 1,500,000 fully paid Monument shares to the vendors in exchange for 70% of the shares in EK, which in turn holds a 70% interest in the Mersing Gold Project, conditional upon completion of a \$2,000,000 exploration program on the Mersing Gold Project within two-years. The Company has the right to earn a further 21% interest in the Mersing Gold Project by making either, at the option of the vendors, a further \$1,500,000 cash payment to the vendors or by issuing 2,500,000 fully paid Monument shares to the vendors in order to acquire the remaining 30% of the issued EK shares bringing Monument's interest in the Mersing Gold Project to 70%, conditional upon completion of a further \$2,000,000 exploration program on the Mersing Project within two years.

Upon acquiring 100% of the shares of EK and reaching a 70% ownership level in the Mersing Gold Project, the Company has the right, for a period of 180 days after the completion of its second earning exploration obligations and the completion of an initial or pre-feasibility study on the project, to buy out the remaining 30% interest in the project thereby achieving 100% ownership in the Mersing Gold Project.

The transaction was accepted by TSX Venture Exchange and was closed on September 26, 2011. Monument has become the sole operator and manager of the Mersing Gold Project.

The Company is reviewing Mersing's regulatory status in order to initiate an exploration program. Exploration at the Mersing Gold Project will provide an opportunity to understand the geological nature of gold mineralization within the Mersing district and, if successful, will create an opportunity for further discovery along the structural trend as defined by the Mineral and Geosciences Department of Johore State.

Acquisition of Star Destiny Sdn. Bhd.

During November 2011, through a wholly-owned Malaysian subsidiary, the Company paid vendors cash of approximately US\$3,140,000 (RM10,000,000) to acquire 100% of the common shares of Star Destiny Sdn. Bhd. ("SDSB"), which holds 100% rights to the exploration

permit pertaining to prospective land totaling 750 Hectares in Pahang State, Malaysia, adjacent to the south of the Mengapur Polymetallic Project. Star Destiny prospecting land contains a part of the historic ore body of the Mengapur Project.

The prospecting exploration permit of SDSB expired on September 23, 2012. The Company submitted an application of renewal in November 2011 to the State authority; it has also submitted two applications for mining licenses in 2009 and 2010 over sections of the same area. Another application for mining lease over the prospecting land was also submitted in August 2012. The Company has yet to receive an official notification from the State authority in response to its applications.

Acquisition of a 70% interests in the Mengapur Polymetallic Project

On May 31, 2011, the Company, through its wholly-owned subsidiary Monument Mengapur Sdn Bhd ("MMSB"), in Malaysia, entered into a binding Memorandum of Understanding (the "MOU") with Malaco Mining Sdn Bhd ("Malaco") and Malaco's wholly-owned subsidiary Cermat Aman Sdn Bhd ("CASB"), both incorporated in Malaysia, to acquire 70% of the Mengapur Polymetallic Project under the Mengapur mining lease located in Pahang State, Malaysia.

On November 24, 2011 upon completion of due diligence, a Definitive Acquisition Agreement was signed among the Company, its wholly-owned subsidiary MMSB and Diamond Hard Mining Sdn. Bhd., its shareholders and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Malaco and CASB subject to financing, shareholders' and regulatory approvals. Under terms of the Definitive Acquisition Agreement, MMSB would pay \$60,000,000 in cash and issue 300 MMSB shares (representing a 30% interest in MMSB) to Malaco in exchange for a 100% interest in CASB, the company that holds 100% of the Mengapur Project. As a result of the acquisition, the Company would own 70% of the Mengapur Project with the exception of certain magnetite materials contained in the overburden oxide soils. A non-refundable deposit of \$500,000 was paid to Malaco upon signing of the Definitive Acquisition Agreement, and \$4,500,000 was set up in an Escrow Fund to secure the Mengapur Project. Upon closing of the acquisition, the total of \$5,000,000 was applied against the purchase price.

The proposed acquisition was approved at the Annual General and Special Shareholder's Meeting held on December 30, 2011 ("The 2011 Shareholders Meeting"), and was thereafter accepted by the TSX-V in February 2012. Although Monument intended to complete the Mengapur Acquisition with the proceeds from its previously announced proposed private placement financing, which was also approved at the 2011 Shareholders Meeting and remains subject to TSX-V acceptance, Monument decided, and considers it in the best interests of the Company, to close the Acquisition using its cash on hand, given the Company's current working capital position and its continued cash flow from gold production generated by its existing operations.

On February 21, 2012, the Company announced that, using the cash on hand, it closed the acquisition of a 70% interest in the Mengapur Polymetallic Project by acquiring a 100% interest in CASB. In exchange, MMSB: (i) arranged for the payment of an aggregate of \$60,000,000 in cash to Malaco and certain of Malaco's creditors; and (ii) issued 300 MMSB shares to Malaco. As a result, Monument now holds an indirect 70% interest in the Mengapur Project.

1.2.2 Projects Update

Selinsing Gold Project

Resources

The Selinsing Gold Project ("Selinsing Project") is located at Bukit Selinsing near Sungai Koyan, approximately 65 km north of Raub and 40 km west of Kuala Lipis on the lineament known as the Raub Bentong Suture, at approximately 040°15'00"N latitude, 101°04'10"E longitude. The resources of the Selinsing Gold Project are comprised of an indicated mineral resource of 3,630,000 tonnes at 1.76 gpt, using a cutoff of 0.75 gpt for contained ounces of 205,000 ounces of gold, and an inferred mineral resource of 7,690,000 tonnes at a grade of 1.34 gpt for contained ounces of 330,000 ounces of gold at a similar cutoff grade. It is a near surface open pit resource that metallurgical test work and subsequent mill production records confirm recovery between 92% and 95%.

Mine Development

Phase III Expansion

The Phase III expansion began on September 6, 2011 with budget of \$8.1 million and commissioned in June 2012 on time at total cost of approximately \$8.7 million, including installation of an additional crusher, a primary ball mill, three additional leach tanks, and other improvements to the gold room, detox circuit, tailing pipelines and pumping system. The expansion increased capacity of the gold treatment plant from 400,000 tonnes per annum ("tpa") to approximately 1,000,000 tpa. On August 16, 2012 the Company announced that the Phase III Gold Plant Expansion was placed into commercial production.

TSF Expansion

During the year ended June 30, 2012, the Company spent approximately \$1.7 million on the TSF ("Tailing Storage Facility") expansion completed in December 2011. The expanded TSF has brought storage capacity to 11.0 million tonnes from 6.4 million tonnes. The

increased capacity will support 10 years of tailings discharge from the newly expanded gold processing plant once upgraded through the Phase III construction.

Production

The second year of commercial operation (fiscal 2012) produced 44,585 ounces of gold (44,438 – 2011), 19% lower than projected. The production was lower than expected mainly due to lower gold recovery that occurred during ramp up of tonnage through the new primary ball mill, downtime caused by commissioning of the phase III plant expansion, transformation from oxide ore to transition (mixed) ore and plant changeover to better process sulfide ore.

A total of 364,680 tonnes of ore was processed during fiscal 2012 (351,999 – 2011). This again was below projection due to the commissioning of the phase III expansion, however during Q4 the new primary ball mill, a part of the phase III expansion, was commissioned and production increased 33% over the third quarter.

Gold sales for fiscal 2012 were 36,938 ounces as compared to 40,438 ounces in fiscal 2011 due to timing. However, revenue was \$61,709,000 compared to \$52,379,851 in 2011; a 9% increase resulting from a higher average realized gold price of \$1,671 per ounce compared to \$1,400 per ounce in fiscal 2011.

| | Year ended June 30, | | Three months ended | | | Year ended | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| | 2010 | 2011 | Sep 30, 2011 | Dec 31, 2011 | Mar 31, 2012 | June 30, 2012 | June 30 2012 |
| Mining | | | | | | | |
| Ore mined (tonnes) | 662,330 | 740,909 | 124,736 | 128,557 | 140,168 | 108,420 | 501,881 |
| Waste removed (tonnes) | 2,326,502 | 2,707,598 | 719,080 | 686,995 | 744,914 | 619,502 | 2,770,491 |
| Stripping ratio | 3.51 | 3.65 | 5.76 | 5.34 | 5.31 | 5.71 | 5.52 |
| Ore stockpiled (tonnes) | 387,545 | 773,432 | 813,175 | 859,011 | 915,347 | 920,633 | 920,633 |
| Processing | | | | | | | |
| Crushed ore (tonnes) | 274,786 | 355,021 | 84,993 | 82,722 | 83,833 | 103,134 | 354,682 |
| Ore processed (tonnes) | 272,120 | 351,999 | 86,343 | 84,182 | 83,447 | 110,708 | 364,680 |
| Average mill feed grade (g/t) | 3.08 | 4.31 | 4.53 | 5.25 | 3.37 | 3.87 | 4.24 |
| Processing recovery rate | 58.7% | 92.9% | 95.1% | 95.3% | 93.3% | 91.1% | 93.7% |
| Gold produced (oz) | 13,793 | 44,438 | 11,846 | 11,736 | 10,676 | 10,327 | 44,585 |
| Gold sold (oz) | 13,793 | 40,438 | 8,372 | 12,765 | 7,301 | 8,500 | 36,938 |
| Revenue (in 000's) | 16,316 | 56,627 | 14,430 | 21,084 | 12,394 | 13,801 | 61,709 |
| Cash cost (US\$/oz) ⁽¹⁾ – | | | | | | | |
| Mining | 64 | 53 | 54 | 51 | 52 | 61 | 54 |
| Processing | 90 | 120 | 152 | 131 | 130 | 149 | 140 |
| Royalties | 62 | 69 | 86 | 120 | 123 | 97 | 107 |
| Operations, net of silver recovery | - | - | 5 | 5 | (2) | 9 | 5 |
| Total cash cost (US\$/oz) | 216 | 242 | 297 | 307 | 303 | 316 | 306 |

- (1) Total cash cost includes production costs such as mining, processing, tailing facility maintenance and camp administration, royalties, and operating costs such as storage, temporary mine production closure, community development cost and property fees, net of by-product credits. Cash cost excludes amortization, depletion, accretion expenses, capital costs, exploration costs and corporate administration costs.

Exploration

As of the end of June 30, 2012, a total of 10,554 metres in 49 drill holes were drilled at Selinsing for a total cost of approximately \$1.2 million. The 47 metres spaced drill holes are up to 250 metres deep and are designed to intercept the mineralized structure 220 metres below surface at 280 metres Reduced Level ("RL"). This is below the existing planned pit depth. The drill program is aimed to increase the reserves by converting the inferred gold resources below the present Selinsing open pit outline.

Drill samples were sent to SGS (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd., an accredited laboratory which complies with requirements of ISO/IEC 1725:2005, for preparation and analysis. The sampling is of half NQ and half HQ diamond drill core with maximum sample intervals of 1.5 metres. Quality assurance and quality control ("QAQC") is maintained through the submission of certified reference materials and blanks. Coarse split duplicates are collected and analyzed, but assays are pending. Sample recoveries are good to excellent.

The significant results (>1 g/t Au) obtained from the first six holes drilled are outlined in the news release announced on July 26, 2011 (refer to www.sedar.com). The information in this news release as it relates to the Selinsing exploration drilling results was compiled by Monument; and reviewed by Mr. Roderick Carlson, an independent qualified person as defined under National Instrument 43-101. Mr. Carlson is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Geoscientists and a full-time employee of Snowden Mining Industry Consultants, who has sufficient experience relevant to the style of mineralization and type of deposit under consideration.

The results indicate that high grade Au mineralization extends below the existing pit and remains open at depth. The on-going programs will continue to assess the gold distribution at depth. The new drill hole data will be used to construct a new resource estimate. The announced Selinsing "Deeps" mineralized drill hole results (located below the current design pit) are similar in grade and true thickness to those obtained from historic drilling campaigns conducted before the 2007 acquisition.

Environment, Safety and Health

The Company's commitment to comply with Malaysia's environmental laws follows three main government authorities:

- The Department of Minerals and Geosciences (JMG) with environmental jurisdiction inside the Company's project tenements;
- The Department of the Environment (DOE), whose jurisdiction lies outside the Company's tenements regarding air and water quality discharge; and,
- The Department of Safety and Health (DOSH), primarily concerned with the storage and handling of hazardous chemicals.

In the year ended 30 June, 2012 routine safety inspections were conducted at all areas on the mine site and regular checks were made on fire extinguishers, first aid kits and safety showers. A landslide emergency drill was successfully completed in the Mining area with the co-operation and assistance from the relevant Government agencies.

The amendment of the Operational Mining Scheme reflecting the Phase III plant upgrade was completed pending for JMG's approval; the supplemental Environmental Impact Assessment ("EIA") study was under review during the third quarter and will be submitted to the relevant authorities upon completion. The EIA study is to highlight the potential impact from the Phase III plant expansion programs, and design a remedial action plan to mitigate risks. Assessment of the project shows favorable effects on the socio economy in terms of employment opportunities to the nearby community and at the same time with the proper mitigation measure, the project will not present any significant long term residual impacts on the environment. The plant expansion programs should enable the available gold resource to be treated at an optimum economic scale and cost. This is in line with the principal objectives of the industrial master plan that is to promote opportunities for the maximum and efficient utilization of nation's abundant natural resources.

Buffalo Reef Prospect

Resources

Through Damar, the Company holds a 100% interest in the Buffalo Reef Prospect consisting of two contiguous tenements approximately 2,050 acres in size in Pahang State of Malaysia that extends the total contiguous and continuous land position northward from and including the Selinsing Gold Mine Project for approximately 4.2 kilometers along the gold trend.

The Buffalo Reef deposit had only a JORC Code compliant historical estimated resource upon acquisition in 2007. In fiscal 2011, Snowden Pty Ltd Australia was engaged to update the historical mineral resources at Buffalo Reef, to convert the historical inferred resources to the measured and indicated categories, and to update the JORC Code compliant gold resources to NI 43-101 standards. The Snowden NI 43-101 report was completed and filed under SEDAR on May 26, 2011. As of December 2010 at a cutoff grade of 0.5 g/t Au, the Buffalo Reef Indicated Mineral Resource is 2.30 million tonnes grading 2.24 g/t Au for a total of 165,500 ounces of Au and the Inferred Mineral Resource is 1.36 million tonnes grading 1.31 g/t Au for a total of 57,300 ounces of Au.

The Buffalo Reef Mineral Resource as of December 2010, and reported at a 0.5 g/t Au cut-off grade (Snowden, 2011):

| Classification | Oxidation State | Zone | Tonnes Kt | Au g/t | Au Oz | |
|------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|
| Indicated | Oxide | South | 272 | 2.35 | 20,500 | |
| | | Central | 32 | 1.62 | 1,700 | |
| | | North | 159 | 1.57 | 8,000 | |
| | Sulphide | South | 1,298 | 2.66 | 111,300 | |
| | | Central | 246 | 1.36 | 10,700 | |
| | | North | 291 | 1.42 | 13,300 | |
| | Total (Indicated) | | | 2,298 | 2.24 | 165,500 |
| | Inferred | Oxide | South | 125 | 1.23 | 4,900 |
| | | | Central | 52 | 1.44 | 2,400 |
| North | | | 26 | 2.79 | 2,400 | |
| Sulphide | | South | 411 | 1.36 | 17,900 | |
| | | Central | 548 | 1.07 | 18,800 | |
| | | North | 201 | 1.69 | 10,900 | |
| Total (Inferred) | | | 1,363 | 1.31 | 57,300 | |

The Inferred Resource is mostly located in the Felda Block 7 where no drilling work has been carried out as yet. The Company has paid obtained agreements from settlers for exploration rights but yet to receive the consent from Felda Corporation to access and carry out further exploration.

Snowden considers that this resource estimate is appropriate for use in a Scoping Study or a Pre-Feasibility Study or a preliminary Assessment.

The NI43-101 report contains preliminary metallurgical test work results. Historic test work conducted by Avocet Mining PLC, the previous owner of Buffalo Reef, indicated that the oxide zone showed reasonable metallurgical recovery rates for gold by direct cyanidation. However, the sulfide mineralization at Buffalo Reef was classified as refractory to direct cyanidation procedures. This prompted the Company to commence test work programs in 2010 and preliminary metallurgical studies completed by Monument in 2011 have shown promising results using roasting or bioleaching pre-treatment processes. These test work programs are ongoing and the Company is encouraged with results to date. Oxide ore could be treated using the existing Selinsing processing plant.

Given the advanced state of exploration at the Buffalo Reef deposit, future activities will focus on infill drilling to increase the level of confidence in the geological interpretation and resource estimation. To facilitate this, Monument is securing additional land for further resources definition drilling. Diamond core drilling will be required to provide material for bulk density measurement and metallurgical test work, along with geotechnical data.

The NI43-101 technical report dated May 2011 was prepared by independent qualified persons Jean-Pierre Graindorge, BSc from Snowden Mining Industry Consultants Pty Limited and Frank Wright, P. Eng., a Consulting Metallurgist. Information in this report relates to in-situ Mineral Resource estimates using CIM Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves Definitions and Guidelines. The May 2011 estimate was carried out under the supervision of Mr. Michael Andrew who is a full time employee of Snowden Mining Industry Consultants Pty Ltd. Mr. Andrew is a member of the Australian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (MAusIMM), and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralization and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Qualified Person as defined by the Code. Mr. Andrew consents to the inclusion in this section of MD&A of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

Pahang State Government via the State Land and Mine Department approved the renewal application of MC 1/107 in the first quarter of fiscal 2012. The mining lease was extended for an additional 10 years; tenement fees were paid by Damar to the State Government of Pahang for issuance of the new lease certificate.

Exploration

The Buffalo Reef exploration program announced in September 2010 includes 33 drill holes comprising 2,500m of RC drilling and 3,200m of diamond drilling budgeted at \$0.8 million. The drill program is an extension of the previous 11,871m of shallow RC drilling programs completed in 2008. It is aimed to convert the inferred resources to measured and indicated resources under NI 43-101 standards. Metallurgical and recovery test work has also been carried out on the Buffalo Reef ore as part of the ongoing development program.

In early fiscal 2012 two new drill rigs were purchased and placed in use at the Selinsing and Buffalo Reef. A new exploration office was built to accommodate the newly recruited exploration personnel and core storing and handling facilities were constructed. The workshop was complete in fiscal 2012.

As of the end of June 30, 2012, a total of 3,689 diamond drill meters in 21 drill holes were complete at Buffalo Reef for a total cost of approximately \$0.54 million.

Famehub Properties

The Famehub area is located in Pahang State of Malaysia approximately 15km northwest of the town of Kuala Lipis and 2km north of the Selinsing Gold mine.

On September 13, 2010, through its wholly-owned subsidiary Damar, the exploration arm of Monument's Malaysian group of companies, the Company acquired the Famehub Property by purchasing 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of Famehub Venture Sdn. Bhd. ("Famehub"), a company incorporated in Malaysia. Famehub has rights to approximately 32,000 acres of prospective exploration land to the north of Buffalo Reef along the trend and east of the Selinsing gold mine. Famehub also owns a package of technical information and exploration database in respect to the subject property. The full purchase price for the Famehub acquisition is \$1.5 million in cash and 14 million common shares of the Company. The Company has started preparation for its exploration programs, targeting the consolidation of its Selinsing and Buffalo Reef properties together with the Famehub Property around the newly constructed producing Selinsing gold mine in order to extend the life of the mine through increased resources situated nearby.

The Famehub area is in an early stage of exploration. It consists of nine separate exploration prospects. Extensive stream sediment and soil sampling campaigns have been completed by the previous owners with minimal drilling conducted to date. The results of the geochemical sampling included in the database obtained by the Company indicate anomalous gold grades are present in the Famehub properties. Follow up exploration work is required to define the extent of any potential gold mineralization (refer to "Preliminary

Assessment of Exploration Tenements, Malaysia, NI43-101 Technical Report", August 2010, prepared by Snowden Group Australia and filed on www.sedar.com.

Data obtained from the acquisition allows the Company to quickly focus on prospective drill targets and assess the potential of the newly acquired ground through targeted exploration. The initial 12 month program includes trenching and 61 drill holes comprising of 7,440m of RC drilling and 1,500m of diamond drilling and is budgeted at \$1.6 million. The program is aimed to generate targets for future drilling.

In the 2012 fiscal year, field works have been carried out at the Panau, Serau and Tekai prospects for \$88,434. A total of 1,082 trench samples, 9,255 soils samples, 163 stream samples and 148 grab/float samples were collected from the Panau and Tekai prospects.

Mengapur Polymetallic Project

The Mengapur Project is located in Central Malaysia in the State of Pahang, approximately 130 kilometers from Monument's wholly-owned Selinsing Gold Mine near Sri Jaya, 12 kilometers from a highway and 75 kilometers from the Malaysian port of Kuantan. The Mengapur polymetallic deposit was first discovered in 1979 with anomalous stream sediment samples and later drilled by Malaysia Mining Corporation Berhad ("MMC") from 1983 to 1988. Historical economic and resource estimates on the Mengapur Project were completed and published as a "Definitive Feasibility Study" (the "Historical Study") in October 1990 by Normet Engineering Pty Ltd with James Askew Associates completing the ore reserve and resource estimates, both of Perth, Western Australia. At least three current land positions totaling approximately 1,000 hectares cover the 1990 historical reserve area described in the Historical Study. The Company entered the Definitive Agreement to acquire, among other things, the rights to Mining Certificate number PL 1/2006 or Lot 10210 (Hulu Lepar Subdistrict, Kuantan District, Pahang State), which provides rights to the land that covers approximately 185.10 hectares (457.5 acres) and a majority of the historical 1990 reserve.

The Historical Study contains 10 volumes of comprehensive supporting documents which resulted from a 10 year, 58,000 meter diamond drilling program costing approximately \$40 million. The exploration program was carried out by MMC, a Malaysian government-owned corporation. The resource and reserve estimates reported in the Study are historic and are considered to provide an indication of the potential of the project based on historic assumptions used to modify the resource to a reserve, therefore should not be considered as Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves as defined in the CIM guidelines.

The Historical Study proposed construction of a process facility, roaster and supporting infrastructure and other supplemental processing facilities (together the "Plant Facilities"). According to the Study, the Plant Facilities were expected to provide capacity for the treatment of 2,500,000 tons per year for a mine life of 23 years. Other activities including further acquisitions and area exploration could further increase this mine life. In addition, the Plant Facilities could also produce other by-products such as 600,000 tons of sulfuric acid per year or downstream products as indicated by the Study such as fertilizer for the Malaysian and other palm oil industry participants in neighboring South East Asian countries. However, any economic data from the historic feasibility study must be considered out of date and is not intended to suggest any current economic viability.

A NI 43-101 compliant technical report was completed and signed off by Walter Dzick, B.Sc., MBA, P. Geo., MAusIMM, and Rod Carlson, B.Sc., M.Sc., MAIG, of Snowden Mining Industry Consultants ("Snowden") 2 named as "Monument Mining Limited: Mengapur Project, Pahang State, Malaysia, Project No. V1165". The Technical Report was SEDAR filed on December 2, 2010 and the Amended Mengapur Project Technical Report subsequently SEDAR filed on February 29, 2012 (the "Mengapur Project Technical Report"). The Mengapur Project Technical Report represents a compilation of historic information and data that has been provided to Snowden by the Company and all economic assessments and resource statements included in the Report are considered historic in nature and there is no certainty that any economic assessments will be realized.

As part of the Historical Study, the authors of the Historical Study helped determine a Cu-S-Au-Ag sulfide reserve (Table 1, below) on Zone A, and a Cu-S-Au-Ag sulfide and oxide resource (Table 2, below) on Zones A, B, and C. The resource and reserve estimate reports are considered relevant because they provide an indication of the mineral potential of the project. The historical resource and reserve estimates reported in the Historical Study use categories other than those set out in NI 43-101 and therefore should not be considered as Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves as defined in the CIM 2005 guidelines. These reserves and resources do not meet the requirements of the 2005 CIM Guidelines and should only be considered to be historical in nature. The Historical Study does not clearly state if this reserve is included in the resource estimate.

Table 1. Mengapur Project Historical Sulfide Reserve estimate as of October 1990 using a 0.336% Equivalent Cu cutoff grade.

| | | Tonnes (Million t) | EQV Cu (%) | S (%) | Cu (%) | Au(g/t) | Ag(g/t) |
|--------------|----------|--------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Sulfide | Proven | 26.467 | 0.803 | 9.20 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 2.46 |
| | Probable | 38.324 | 0.691 | 8.23 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 2.68 |
| TOTAL | | 64.800 | 0.737 | 8.63 | 0.27 | 0.21 | 2.59 |

Notes: Equivalent Cu is based on the following assumptions: Recoveries for Cu, Ag, Au and S of 76.6%, 47%, 48%, and 82%, respectively; and commodity prices in US\$/kg equal to 1.37 Cu, 4,107 Au; 65 Ag; and 0.09 S and a combined mining and processing cost of US\$4.45/tonne. The historical reserve is based on A Zone using the SP6 Design pit as described in the Historical Study. The disclosure of historical reserves is not meant to imply that there is any current economic viability. This would require completion of at least a preliminary feasibility study.

Table 2. Mengapur Project Historical Resource estimate as of October 1990 using a 0.336% Equivalent Cu cutoff grade.

| | | Tonnes (Million t) | EQV Cu (%) | S (%) | Cu (%) | Au(g/t) | Ag(g/t) |
|-----------------|-----------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| Oxide | Measured | 4.866 | 0.419 | 0 | 0.47 | 0.05 | 27.82 |
| | Indicated | 16.406 | 0.557 | 0 | 0.64 | 0.12 | 26.45 |
| Subtotal | | 21.272 | 0.525 | 0 | 0.60 | 0.10 | 26.70 |
| Sulfide | Measured | 63.438 | 0.661 | 7.622 | 0.25 | 0.18 | 3.30 |
| | Indicated | 139,699 | 0.579 | 7.040 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 3.85 |
| Subtotal | | 203.137 | 0.605 | 7.222 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 3.68 |
| TOTAL | | 224.409 | 0.597 | 6.54 | 0.25 | 0.16 | 8.86 |

Notes: The same recoveries and commodity prices stated for the reserves in Table 1 were used for the resources. The resources include Zones A, B, and C.

Copper and iron production occurred at Mengapur after the completion of the Historical Study and was compiled as part of Monument's 2011 due diligence work and included in the Snowden NI 43-101 report for disclosure purposes. A 500,000 tpa used flotation plant was constructed at the site from 2005 to 2007. Total copper production from sulfide skarn rock from October 2008 to June 2009 includes 250 tonnes of copper ore grading 8% to 18% copper whereas total iron production from skarn rock from June 2010 to July 2011 totals:

- 26,693 tonnes of iron ore to produce 3,168 tonnes iron (magnetite) fines averaging 63% iron with high contained sulfur content (3% to 4% S); and
- An additional 24,966 tonnes iron ore lumps averaging 42% iron by crushing plant.

The iron and copper processed from the copper processing plant at site was mined from mainly one open pit area located in the southwestern corner of the claims currently held by CASB.

Total iron production from oxidized materials from October 2010 to October 2011 totals 2,556,479 tonnes and was mined mostly from two open pits on the property currently held by CASB. This oxidized material was transported off the property held by CASB and processed at facilities owned by another operator.

The operations plan in the Historical Study recommended using an 8,500 tpd Cu processing plant operation. Under this plan, the pyrrhotite concentrate was going to be roasted to produce 590,000 tpa of sulfuric acid which would be converted to 203,000 tpa of P2O5 in the form of phosphoric acid. This is based on a mining rate of 7,534.24 tonnes per day (2.75 million tonnes per year) to produce some 30,500 tonnes of Cu concentrate and about 620,000 tonnes of pyrrhotite concentrate per year over the proposed 23 year mine life.

The historic data compiled in the Technical Report indicates the need for more preliminary test work to be completed before the project is ready to move forward. The resource and reserve areas identified in the Historical Study must be drilled using CIM 2005 standards.

The recommended work plan described in the Technical Report includes acquiring the land rights to conduct exploration and mine development studies. A first work phase is recommended consisting of due diligence work completed mostly from August 25 to November 25, 2011 at an approximate cost of CAD\$788,473. A second work phase includes a 1.6 year drill hole program at an approximate cost of CAD\$13,442,222 using three diamond drill rigs and one RC rig to complete a total of 65,980m of resource

conversion and infill drilling (at a 40 m average drill hole spacing for planning purposes). The total work program costs \$14,230,695 and assumes that the diamond drill production is 30 m per 24-hour work shift. The second phase of work is expected to commence shortly after the closing of the Mengapur Project acquisition.

Included in this 1.6 year drilling program is access road and drill pad construction, metallurgy testing on the sulfide and oxide ores consisting of flotation testing, grind test work for sulfide ores, and leach tests (bottle roll and columns) for oxide ores; geologic and mine design modeling; geologist's time; assays for Au, Cu, Ag, and Leco S along with multi-element ICP; quality-assurance and quality control assay program; and contract topographic survey work (air and ground).

After the closing of the Mengapur acquisition, the 40-person camp at Mengapur has been increased to support a larger Monument staff. The on-site facilities are being upgraded and a new core storage and logging facility was recently constructed near the existing site Administration Office. As of the end of June 30, 2012, a total of 11,111 meters in 69 drill holes were drilled at the Star Destiny property for a total drilling cost of approximately \$1.8 million to determine the continuity of mineralization, explore untested areas and to test deeper mineralization targets below the proposed pit shells.

The Company has approved a \$400,000 budget for carrying out research and investigation work on rock phosphate resources through an Australian company as a part of its strategic plan for development of future downstream products on the Mengapur Project.

On August 24, 2012, subsequent to the year end, the Company announced drill results on the Mengapur Project that were conducted before the end of December 2011 (refer to www.sedar.com). The Company plans to advance the Mengapur Project upon receipt of approval of its application for renewal of the Operating Mining Scheme ("OMS") from the State authority. An annual OMS is mandatory for a mining leaseholder to carry out any mining activities at the mine site. The Company's OMS expired on May 31, 2012 and its application for renewal is under the review of the State Authority. Delay was caused by the timing constraint on the Company as a new leaseholder in collecting and compiling the related technical information.

Currently ZCM Mining Sdn. Bhd. has been carrying iron ore operation on the Mengapur mine site. ZCM has been notified by the Company that it should not conduct mining without the OMS in place. The Company has also reported this matter to relevant government authority. The Company terminated ZCM's iron ore agreement in March 2012 due to ZCM's environment non-compliance and extraction and taking of other metals in its iron ore operations contrary to the terms of the agreement. This leads to the present dispute to which a resolution is currently being negotiated.

1.3 Selected Financial Information

| BALANCE SHEET | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2011 | July 1, 2010 |
|--|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Current assets | \$ 50,179,801 | \$68,326,751 | \$10,599,467 |
| Other assets | 161,769,174 | 56,415,756 | 56,568,191 |
| Total assets | 211,948,975 | 124,742,507 | 67,167,658 |
| Current liabilities | 19,052,930 | 4,919,497 | 3,637,939 |
| Other liabilities | 22,388,089 | 38,807,319 | 4,257,461 |
| Non-controlling interests | 24,186,279 | - | - |
| Equity attributable to parent | 146,321,677 | 81,015,691 | 59,272,258 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | 211,948,975 | 124,742,507 | 67,167,658 |
| Working capital excluding derivative liabilities | \$ 31,130,680 | \$63,407,254 | \$6,961,528 |

1.4 Operating highlights

| | Fiscal 2011 | | | | Fiscal 2012 | | | |
|---|-------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Revenues | 6,611,494 | 14,119,390 | 15,031,105 | 16,617,861 | 14,430,298 | 21,084,315 | 12,393,951 | 13,800,700 |
| Gold Produced (Ounces) | 9,050 | 11,348 | 11,904 | 12,136 | 11,846 | 11,736 | 10,676 | 10,327 |
| Gold Sold (Ounces) | 8,650 | 10,148 | 10,704 | 10,936 | 8,372 | 12,765 | 7,301 | 8,500 |
| Average Realized Gold price (per ounce) | 1,256 | 1,391 | 1,404 | 1,520 | 1,724 | 1,652 | 1,698 | 1,624 |
| Average London Spot Gold price (per ounce) | 1,227 | 1,367 | 1,386 | 1,506 | 1,702 | 1,688 | 1,691 | 1,609 |
| Cash cost (per ounce) | 202 | 265 | 238 | 262 | 297 | 307 | 303 | 316 |
| Net Earnings Attributable to Common Shareholders | 901,859 | 3,192,992 | 17,916,723 | 10,380,198 | 11,176,073 | 26,708,718 | 8,116,449 | 13,560,064 |
| Net Earnings before other income Attributable to Common Shareholders | 4,508,245 | 10,115,173 | 10,296,084 | 10,537,186 | 9,925,103 | 14,779,551 | 8,430,279 | 7,395,364 |
| Earnings Per Share before other income: | | | | | | | | |
| - Basic | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| - Diluted | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| Net Earnings after other income and tax Attributable to Common Shareholders | 901,859 | 3,192,992 | 17,916,723 | 10,380,198 | 11,176,073 | 26,708,718 | 8,116,449 | 13,560,064 |
| Earnings Per Share: | | | | | | | | |
| - Basic | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.08 |
| - Diluted | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.07 |

Summary

The operating results of the Company reflect its income from gold mine operations, on-going corporate business development, administrative costs and other income or expenses such as interest, fair value gains or losses on derivative liabilities, and foreign exchange gains or losses.

For the year ended June 30, 2012, the net income attributable to shareholders was \$59,561,304, or \$0.33 per share (basic) compared to \$32,391,772, or \$0.19 per share (basic) in fiscal 2011. The increase in earnings per share in fiscal 2012 is mainly due to other income (loss) which attributed \$0.10 to earnings per share as compared to (\$0.02) per share in fiscal 2011. The significant increase in other income was caused by derivative gains resulted in change of fair value of derivative liabilities at the end of the reporting period calculated based on selected financial models and assumptions associated to related commodity price or share price at the market as required by IFRS, the applicable accounting standards. Derivative liabilities are identified by the management have most significant effect on the amounts recognized in the financial statements that need to be evaluated at each reporting period with a nature of uncertainty.

The net income before other income (loss) and before taxes attributable to shareholders was \$40,530,297, or \$0.22 per share for fiscal 2012; and \$35,456,688, or \$0.21 per share for fiscal 2011.

Sales, Cost of Goods Sold and Gross Margin from Mining Operations
Sales

For the year ended June 30, 2012, the net income attributable to shareholders was \$59,561,304, or \$0.33 per share (basic) compared to \$32,391,772, or 0.19 per share (basic) in the corresponding period of fiscal 2011.

Gold sales generated \$61,709,264 from 36,938 ounces sold during fiscal 2012 compared to \$52,379,851 from 40,438 ounces sold in fiscal 2011. The increase in revenue, considering the decrease in ounces sold, was due to an average realized gold sale price of \$1,671 per ounce compared to \$1,400 per ounce in 2011. The average London spot price for 2012 was \$1,673 (\$1,372 – 2011).

The cash cost per ounce of gold sold in fiscal 2012 was \$306, compared to \$242 per ounce in 2011. The cash cost is broken up as follows:

| | Year ended June 30, | | Three months ended | | | Year ended | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| | 2010 | 2011 | Sep 30, 2011 | Dec 31, 2011 | Mar 31, 2012 | June 30, 2012 | June 30 2012 |
| Cash cost (US\$/oz) ⁽¹⁾ – | | | | | | | |
| Mining | 64 | 53 | 54 | 51 | 52 | 61 | 54 |
| Processing | 90 | 120 | 152 | 131 | 130 | 149 | 140 |
| Royalties | 62 | 69 | 86 | 120 | 123 | 97 | 107 |
| Operations, net of silver recovery | - | - | 5 | 5 | (2) | 9 | 5 |
| Total cash cost (US\$/oz) | 216 | 242 | 297 | 307 | 303 | 316 | 306 |

⁽¹⁾ Total cash cost includes production costs such as mining, processing, tailing facility maintenance and camp administration, royalties, and operating costs such as storage, temporary mine production closure, community development cost and property fees, net of by-product credits. Cash cost excludes amortization, depletion, accretion expenses, capital costs, exploration costs and corporate administration costs.

Mining

Mining cost per ounce remained relatively consistent with 2011 up until the fourth quarter. During Q4 some high sulfide content was encountered. The cost per tonne of material moved remained consistent, however due to the increased processing time; the ounces yielded within Q4 were lower. Another point to note is the slight increase in Q1 to \$54 per ounce; this was due to a brief period of time where the density of the rock was higher than usual leading to increased blasting costs.

Processing

The fluctuations in processing costs are a result of several factors:

- Fluctuations in average mill grade (the average grade of ore fed into the processing plant measured in grams of gold per tonne of ore "g/t") 4.53 g/t (Q1), 5.25 g/t (Q2), 3.37 g/t (Q3), 3.87 g/t (Q4);
- Installation & commissioning of the detoxification circuit leading to delays, a part of the phase III expansion (Q1);
- Several scheduled crusher shutdowns leading to decreased production (Q3);
- Commissioning of the phase III expansion leading to plant downtime (Q4);
- Processing of sulphidic ore leading to longer processing times and higher volumes of reagents used (Q4); and
- Power outages caused by the major power supplier in Malaysia (Q4).

Royalties

The Royalties cost per ounce increased to \$107 during fiscal 2012 compared to \$69 in fiscal 2011. The increase is primarily due to the additional royalty paid on the gold produced but kept as security against CAD 13 million debt. Prior to October 1, 2011 the Company paid 5% of gross revenue in royalty on date of sales, however from that date the Company pays 5% of the market value of gold produced.

Non-cash costs

Non cash production expenses included depreciation and amortization of \$3,802,476 (\$2,398,394 – 2011) and accretion of asset retirement obligations in the amount of \$104,564 (\$61,949 - 2011). The increase in non-cash production expenses is due to the higher capitalized cost as a result of the phase III expansion and the higher tonnes of ore processed (364,680 tonnes – 2012, 351,999 tonnes – 2011).

Corporate general and administrative

Corporate expenses of \$5,945,741 (2011 - \$5,343,603) comprised of salaries, office and administration, legal and accounting, shareholder communications, conference and travel, regulatory compliance, project investigations, stock-based compensation, amortization and exploration expenses.

For the year ended June 30, 2012
(in United States dollars, except where noted)

In addition to stock-based compensation expenses of \$560,772 (2011- \$1,058,329) Regulatory compliance of \$58,898 (2011 - \$57,553) and amortization of \$113,977 (2011 - \$163,882), the Company incurred other corporate expenses of \$5,212,094 (2011- \$4,063,839), which represents an increase of 26% for the financial year ended June 30, 2012 in comparison to the previous period.

General and administrative expenses increased by 13% to \$3,027,332 from \$2,681,055 in the same period of the prior year, and include salary expenses of \$2,524,659 (2011 - \$2,308,435) and office rent and utilities and general office expenses \$393,707 (2011 - \$262,411). The salaries and administrative expenses increased from prior year due to staff increase to accommodate the growth of the Company.

Legal, consulting and audit expenses were \$1,078,250 in the financial year ended 30 June, 2012 compared to \$627,034 in fiscal 2011, which is a 71% increase mainly due to additional audit quarterly reviews, IFRS audit, corporate legal services related to regulatory inquiries, and legal action against Sim Tze Chui AKA Jyn Tze Baker et el. for defamation and slander of title.

Shareholder communications and travel expenses totaled \$961,116 increased by \$395,470 from \$565,646 in the same period of fiscal 2011, reflecting increased activities in investor relations through publications, conferences and travel for corporate development.

For the year ended June 30, 2012 the Company incurred 599,547 (2011 - \$1,089,969) in stock-based compensation expenses net of forfeitures, of which \$560,772 (2011 - \$1,058,329) was charged to operations, \$38,775 (2011 - \$31,640) was charged to inventory, exploration, mine development and construction of the plant. The decrease was mainly resulted by new stock options being granted and amortized and one stock option issuance being vested immediately and therefore fully expensed during the year ended June 30, 2011.

Other income (loss)

The Company recognized a gain/loss in the income statement on the change of fair value of share purchase warrants with an exercise price in CAD, which is different than the Company's functional currency (US dollar). The Company re-measures the fair value of the foreign currency denominated share purchase warrants at each reporting date using the Black-Scholes model and translates it into US dollars using the exchange rates at the reporting date. A gain of \$17,710,610 (\$709,585 - 2011) was recorded for the financial year ended June 30, 2012 due to the change in fair value of foreign currency share purchase warrants, which was mainly driven by fluctuating share price of the Company.

The Company recognized the inducement issued in conjunction with convertible notes as a derivative financial instrument comprised of two components. The first component is a foreign exchange derivative and the second component consists of a written option contract for a non-financial instrument that is readily convertible to cash (gold). The inducement has been recorded as derivative liabilities— gold inducement in the statement of financial position. Changes in the fair value of these derivative liabilities – gold inducement are recorded as a component of other income (loss). During the financial year ended June 30, 2012, the change in fair value of derivative liabilities – gold inducement resulting in a gain of \$47,005 (\$4,636,523 loss - 2011), as explained under note 13 in the financial statements.

The Company recognized a gain in income statement on change of fair value of gold forward purchase contract of \$1,169,051 (\$1,602,306 – 2011). On January 28, 2011, Monument entered into an "Agreement for Sale of Gold" (the "Gold Forward Contract") with Queenstake Resources USA, Ltd. a wholly-owned subsidiary of Yukon Nevada Gold Corp. to pay \$5,000,800 in advance to purchase 4,465 ounces of gold with a settlement date of June 30, 2011. As at June 30, 2011, the 4,465 ounces from the Gold Forward Contract were received and the Company realized a gain of \$1,602,306 on settlement, of the 4,465 ounces received, 3,465 were sold for proceeds of \$5,365,575. As at July 13, 2011, the remaining 1,000 ounces of gold bullion were sold with a realized gain of \$66,508. On January 12, 2012, Monument paid \$5,000,000 in advance to Queenstake Resources USA, Ltd. to purchase 3,665 troy ounces of gold with the right to receive an amount of \$6,000,000 by the delivery date or alternatively receive the 3,665 ounces of gold delivered at an extended settlement date of October 31, 2012 (Previously June 12, 2012). For the financial year ended June 30, 2012, the change of fair value of this gold forward contract resulted in an unrealized gain of \$1,102,543.

On August 11, 2010, the Company closed a \$13 million (CAD 13 million) financing consisting of \$8 million (CAD 8 million) in convertible notes and \$5 million (CAD 5 million) from a forward gold sale. During the financial year ended June 30, 2012, an amount of \$921,939 of interest accretion on the convertible notes (2011 – \$843,767) was charged to income statement.

For the financial year ended June 30, 2012, amortization decreased by \$49,905 to \$113,977 from \$163,882 in the same comparative period. The decrease was mainly due to the reclassification of amortization on site buildings, equipment and warehouse to operations.

The Company earned \$212,687 (2011- \$104,946) in interest income generated from the cash and cash equivalents balance for the financial year ended June 30, 2012. The Company recorded a foreign exchange gain of \$820,113 (\$22,040 loss - 2011) for the financial year ended June 30, 2012 driven by fluctuations in the US dollar and the Malaysian Ringgit influenced by an increased amount of supplier payments and cash balances.

1.5 Liquidity and Financial Condition

The Company's principal cash requirements are working capital used for business development, general administration, property maintenance and development, construction of the gold treatment plant expansion, production operations at Selinsing and exploration at Selinsing, Buffalo Reef, Famehub, Mersing, and Mengapur.

The Company's cash and cash equivalent, including the restricted cash balance, but excluding funds in escrow, as at June 30, 2012 was \$8,399,571 decreased by \$40,766,955 from \$49,166,526 as at June 30, 2011.

- For the year ended June 30, 2012, cash in the amount of \$43,267,746 was generated from operations (2011- \$38,867,045);
- \$17,284 of cash was used and \$4,282,766 of cash was provided from financing activities (2011- \$1,446,554 being used and \$14,303,678 being generated); and
- \$1,571,998 was provided by investing activities and \$78,872,181 of cash was spent on investing activities for funds held in escrow, development costs, acquisition of property, plant and equipment acquisitions and construction (2011-\$5,365,575 and \$11,820,364, net of recoveries on gold sales before the commencement of commercial production).

For the financial year ended June 30, 2012, changes in non-cash working capital items amounted to a cash outflow of \$2,332,204 compared to the outflow of \$1,465,502 for fiscal 2011. The cash inflow for the current period consisted of accounts receivable of \$1,457,828; offset by cash outflow on prepaid expenses and deposits of \$166,406, inventory \$4,767,023, payable and accrued liabilities of \$1,143,397. The outflow for the same period ended June 30, 2011 was due to cash outflow on accounts receivable of \$80,376, prepaid expenses and deposits of \$404,409 and inventory of \$4,964,811; offset by cash inflow in accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$3,984,094. The increase in non-cash working capital items was primarily due to the decrease of accounts receivable from gold sales, reflecting the high liquidity of gold sales from the Selinsing Gold Project.

For the financial year ended June 30, 2012, the Company had a cash inflow of \$4,265,482 (2011- \$12,857,124) from financing activities. The cash inflow of \$4,282,766 was for the exercise of stock options and warrants offset by the outflow from payment of finance lease obligations of \$17,284. For the financial year ended June 30, 2011 cash inflow was \$1,866,578 from exercise of stock options and warrants, \$7,653,600 from proceeds of convertible notes and \$4,783,500 from the proceeds from gold forward contracts. This was offset by the cash outflow from finance costs of \$1,435,904 and payment of finance lease obligations \$10,650.

Investing activities had a cash outflow of \$77,300,183 for fiscal 2012 (2011 - \$6,454,789) of which \$16,113,459 (2011 - \$3,587,145) related to construction, mineral property exploration and evaluation and other capital expenditure. During fiscal 2012 \$7,561,387 (2011 - \$879,447) was used for the construction of the Phase III plant expansion, \$1,148,464 (2011 - \$491,406) was used for the construction of the new tailings storage facility, \$2,403,608 (2011 - \$2,216,292) on other equipment purchase and \$5,000,000 (2011 - \$nil) for the acquisition of fixed assets for Mengapur project.

Mineral properties exploration, development and acquisition costs, including the deferred business development costs was \$57,749,592 (2011 - \$3,231,610). This was represented by the acquisition activities of Mersing project, Star Destiny, and Mengapur project, of \$50,808,688 (2011 - \$1,426,628 for Famehub). Exploration & Evaluation costs and deferred business development costs, including drilling, assays, geological studies & site activities of \$6,940,904 (2011 - \$5,409,749 which was offset by net profits from gold sales of \$3,604,767 charged to mineral properties during July and August, 2010 for a net of \$1,804,982).

As at June 30, 2012, the Company had a positive working capital, after excluding derivative liabilities, of \$31,130,680 compared to \$63,407,254 as at June 30 2011. The decrease of \$32,276,574 was mainly the result of cash spent on the acquisition of the Mengapur project, the Mersing project, Star Destiny, and the gold forward purchase; offset by the commencement of gold sales and cash from warrants exercise. Accounts payable increased to \$8,033,259 at June 30, 2012 from \$4,903,751 at June 30, 2011 primarily attributed to Phase III construction, gold production activities, staff bonuses, and the accounts payable from MMSB business activities starting in April 2011.

Subsequent to June 30, 2012, GoldMet B.V., a Netherland based company ("GoldMet"), purchased 24,112,500 transferrable stock purchase warrants of Monument privately and exercised them at CAD\$0.50 per share. This resulted in a cash inflow to Monument of \$11,922,425.

1.6 Capital Resources

The Company's capital resources as at June 30, 2012 included cash and cash equivalents. The Company's primary sources of funding are equity financing through the issuance of stock, debt financing and cash flow generated from the sale of gold. The Company exercises its best effort to seek and utilize its capital resources in an efficient manner in order to meet its business commitments including exploration and mineral property development, acquisitions, capital asset upgrades and working capital.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2012, pursuant to the terms of the Earn-In Agreement, Monument has initiated a two-year earn-in period of the Mersing Gold Project by paying \$500,000 cash and issuing 1,500,000 fully paid Monument shares to the vendors in exchange for 70% of the shares in EK in turn earning a 49% interest in the Mersing Gold Project. During the first two-year earn-in period, the Company is obligated to complete \$2,000,000 in exploration on the Mersing Gold Project to retain its interest in the Project.

The CAD\$70,000,000 private placement was required in order to fund future exploration and development expenditures on the Mengapur Project. The success of the private placement might create a control person Tulum, who was approved at the 2011 Shareholders Meeting held on December 30, 2011. The Company has re-filed the application of the Private Placement from Tulum with the Exchange as Tulum is still pursuing its investment in Monument.

On January 12, 2012, the Company entered into an "Agreement for Sale of Gold" with Queenstake Resources USA, Ltd. a wholly-owned subsidiary of Yukon Nevada Gold Corp. and paid \$5,000,000 in advance to purchase 3,665 troy ounces of gold with the right to receive either an amount of \$6,000,000 or alternatively to receive 3,665 ounces of gold delivered at an extended settlement date of October 31, 2012 (Originally June 12, 2012).

Subsequent to June 30, 2012, 24,112,500 transferrable stock purchase warrants of Monument were exercised at CAD\$0.50 per share. This resulted in a cash inflow to Monument of \$11,922,425.

The Company's ability to continue its mine development activities, exploration activities and production activities, and to continue as a going concern, will depend on its ability to obtain suitable financing and to generate cash flow from gold production. The Company has generated positive cash flows from operations since commencement of commercial production. Management believes it is able to obtain adequate working capital to continue the on-going operations through its Selinsing mine operation and other financing vehicles. However, the positive cash flow generated from the plant cannot be seen as an indication of future profitability. The profitability of the Company is affected by various factors, including the amount of gold produced and sold, the market price of gold, operating costs, interest rates, environmental costs, labour risk and political risk. The Company seeks to manage risks associated with its business; however, many of the factors affecting these risks are beyond the Company's control.

Financing may be required from time to time to meet its business development program. Whether the Company is able to generate adequate cash to meet its capital requirements is affected by a number of external economic factors beyond the Company's control.

Commitment and Contingencies

| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | Total |
|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Operating leases | \$ 122,276 | \$ 19,443 | \$ 2,776 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 144,495 |
| Purchase commitments | 2,496,256 | - | - | - | - | 2,496,256 |
| Financing commitments | - | - | 12,756,900 | - | - | 12,756,900 |
| Mineral property fees | 6,792 | 6,792 | 6,792 | 6,792 | 6,792 | 33,960 |
| Total | \$2,625,324 | \$ 26,235 | \$ 12,766,468 | \$ 6,792 | \$ 6,792 | \$ 15,431,611 |

Operating leases are for premises and vehicle lease. Purchase commitments are primarily for mining operations. The Company also has Financing commitments through its Convertible note and Gold forward sale instruments. The Convertible note is discussed in note 11 and the Gold forward sale contract is discussed in note 12 in the financial statements.

Upon closing of the Mengapur acquisition, the Company withheld \$11 million out of \$60 million total cash consideration according to the Supplementary Definitive Agreement as the Escrow Fund in order to settle any potential claims from the Vendor's creditors against Mengapur Project and the Company.

1.7 Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

None.

1.8 Transactions with Related Parties

Refer to note 21 of the condensed consolidated financial statements as at June 30, 2012.

1.9 Proposed Transactions

None.

1.10 Fourth Quarter

| | Three months ended June 30, 2011 | Three months ended June 30, 2012 |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Cash cost (US\$/oz) ⁽¹⁾ | | |
| Mining | 53 | 61 |
| Processing | 138 | 149 |
| Royalties | 76 | 97 |
| Operations, net of silver recovery | (5) | 9 |
| Total cash cost (US\$/oz) | 262 | 316 |

| | Three months ended June 30, 2011 | Three months ended June 30, 2012 |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Mining | | |
| Ore mined (tonnes) | 237,342 | 108,420 |
| Waste removed (tonnes) | 700,968 | 619,502 |
| Stripping ratio | 2.95 | 5.71 |
| Ore stockpiled (tonnes) | 773,432 | 920,633 |

Fourth quarter operation results (fiscal 2012 vs fiscal 2011)
Summary

The operating results of the Company reflect its income from gold mine operations, on-going corporate business development, administrative costs and other income or expenses such as interest, fair value gains or losses on derivative liabilities, and foreign exchange gains or losses.

For the fourth quarter, the net income attributable to shareholders was \$13,560,064, or \$0.07 per share (basic) compared to \$10,380,198, or 0.05 per share (basic) in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011. The increase in earnings per share in the fourth quarter is mainly due to other income (loss) which attributed \$0.03 to earnings per share as compared to \$0.00 per share in the fourth quarter fiscal 2011. The significant increase in other income was caused by derivative gains resulted in change of fair value of derivative liabilities at the end of the reporting period calculated based on selected financial models and assumptions associated to related commodity price or share price at the market as required by IFRS, the applicable accounting standards. The derivative liabilities were decreased in fiscal 2012 mainly due to the IFRS adjustments.

The net income before other income (loss) and before taxes attributable to shareholders was \$7,395,364, or \$0.04 per share for the fourth quarter fiscal 2012; and \$10,537,186, or \$0.06 per share for the fourth quarter fiscal 2011.

Sales, Cost of Goods Sold and Gross Margin from Mining Operations
Sales

For the fourth quarter, the net income attributable to shareholders was \$13,560,064, or \$0.07 per share (basic) compared to \$10,380,198, or 0.05 per share (basic) in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011. Gold sales generated \$13,800,700 from 8,500 ounces sold during the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$16,617,862 from 10,936 ounces sold during the previous corresponding three months.

Production decreased to 10,327 ounces during the fourth quarter from 12,136 ounces (2011) and cash cost per ounce increased to \$316 in the fourth quarter from \$262 in fiscal 2011. This was due to the following:

Mining - \$61 per ounce up from \$53 per ounce

Mining costs increased by 15% during the three months ended June 30, 2012 due to a high stripping ratio (more waste mined than ore) and sulfide ores were encountered. This impacted total tonnes moved and ore mined resulting in a higher mining cost per tonne.

Processing - \$149 per ounce up from \$138 per ounce

Processing costs increased by 8% due to the following events occurring at our Selinsing mine site during the fourth quarter fiscal 2012:

-
- Fluctuations in average mill grade (the average grade of ore fed into the processing plant measured in grams of gold per tonne of ore "g/t") 3.87 g/t (4.58 g/t - Q4 fiscal 2011);
 - Commissioning of the phase III expansion leading to plant downtime (Q4);
 - Processing of sulphidic ore leading to longer processing times and higher volumes of reagents used (Q4); and
 - Power outages caused by the major power supplier in Malaysia (Q4).

Royalties - \$97 per ounce up from \$76 per ounce

The Royalties cost per ounce increased to \$97 during the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$76 in the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase is primarily due to the additional royalty paid on the gold produced but not yet sold. Prior to October 1, 2011 the Company paid 5% of gross revenue in royalty on date of sales, however from that date the Company pays 5% of the market value of gold produced.

Non-cash costs

Non cash production expenses included depreciation and amortization of \$1,064,162 (\$893,545 - 2011). The increase in depreciation and amortization relate to the increased tonnes of ore processed, 110,708 tonnes compared to 86,540 tonnes in Q4 2011 resulting from the phase III expansion commissioning.

Corporate general and administrative

Included within Corporate expenses, General and administrative expenses increased by 4% to \$1,850,062 from \$1,778,545 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 respectively. These amounts include salary expenses of \$1,707,629 (\$1,684,676 – Q4 2011) and office rent and general office expenses \$142,433 (\$219,299 – Q4 2011). The salary expenses increased in comparison to the fourth quarter in fiscal 2011 mainly due to bonuses paid to a higher number of employees in 2012.

Shareholder communications and travel expenses totaled \$210,979 (\$103,149 – Q4 2011), reflecting activities in investor relations, conferences and travel for corporate development and acquisition activities. Travel has increased due to additional travel to Malaysia to work with Phoenix Lake Sdn. Bhd. ("PLSB") to harmonize magnetite iron ore operations at the Mengapur project site, as discussed in the news release on June 19, 2012. Also there has been an increased presence of senior management in Malaysia to refine internal controls and operating policies.

Legal, Consulting and audit expense totaled \$226,359 (\$138,304 – Q4 2011), the increase is due to mediation between Monument and Phoenix Lake Sdn. Bhd. ("PLSB") to harmonize magnetite iron ore operations at the Mengapur project site, as discussed in the news release on June 19, 2012.

For the year ended June 30, 2012 the Company incurred \$599,547 (2011 - \$1,089,969) in stock-based compensation expenses net of forfeitures, of which \$560,772 (2011 - \$1,058,329) was charged to operations, \$38,775 (2011 – \$31,640) was charged to inventory, exploration, mine development and construction of the plant. The decrease was mainly resulted by new stock options being granted and amortized and one stock option issuance being vested immediately and therefore fully expensed during the year ended June 30, 2011.

Other income (loss)

The Company earned \$94,544 (2011 - \$32,677) interest income generated from the cash and cash equivalents balance for the three months ended June 30, 2012. The Company incurred a foreign exchange gain of \$414,767 (\$46,427 – 2011) for the three months ended June 30, 2012.

On January 12, 2012, Monument paid \$5,000,000 in advance to Queenstake Resources USA, Ltd. to purchase 3,665 troy ounces of gold with the right to receive an amount of \$6,000,000 by the delivery date or alternatively receive the 3,665 ounces of gold delivered at an extended settlement date of October 31, 2012 (Previously June 12, 2012). For the three months ended June 30, 2012, the change of fair value of gold forward contract resulted in an unrealized gain of \$5,711.

The Company recognized a gain/loss in the income statement on the change of fair value of share purchase warrants with an exercise price in CAD, which is different than the Company's functional currency (US dollar). The Company re-measures the fair value of the foreign currency denominated share purchase warrants at each reporting date using the Black-Scholes model and translates it into US dollars using the exchange rates at the reporting date. A gain of \$5,521,691 (2,145,329 - 2011) was recorded for the three month period ended June 30, 2012 due to the change in fair value of foreign currency share purchase warrants, which was mainly driven by fluctuating share price of the Company.

The Company recognized the inducement issued in conjunction with convertible notes as a derivative financial instrument comprised of two components. The first component is a foreign exchange derivative and the second component consists of a written option contract for a non-financial instrument that is readily convertible to cash (gold). The inducement has been recorded as derivative liabilities— gold inducement in the statement of financial position. Changes in the fair value of these derivative liabilities – gold inducement are recorded as a component of other income (loss). During the three month period ended June 30, 2012, the change in fair value of

derivative liabilities – gold inducement resulting in a gain of \$374,520 (\$2,314,393 loss - 2011), as explained under note 13 in the financial statements.

On August 11, 2010, the Company closed a \$13 million (CAD 13 million) financing consisting of \$8 million (CAD 8 million) in convertible notes and \$5 million (CAD 5 million) from a forward gold sale. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, an amount of \$243,584 of interest accretion on the convertible notes (2011 – \$216,880) was charged to income statement.

1.11 Critical Accounting Estimates

Estimates and judgments are continually evaluated and are based on historical experience and other factors, including expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. The Company makes estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported. Significant estimates and areas where judgment is applied include fair values used to establish: mineral reserve quantities, the valuation of deferred consideration assets, property plant and equipment lives, tax provisions, deferred tax balances and timing of their reversals, share purchase warrant liabilities and equity instruments. Actual results could differ from the Company's estimates.

1.12 International Financial Reporting Standards

Effective January 1, 2011, International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") became Canadian GAAP for publicly accountable enterprises. The Company developed and executed a transition plan in order to begin reporting in accordance with IFRS from July 1, 2011. The transition plan included an assessment phase, a design phase, and an implementation phase.

The Company has prepared its first IFRS audited annual consolidated financial statements for 30 June 2012. IFRS represents standards and interpretations approved by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB"), and are comprised of IFRS's, International Accounting Standards ("IASs") and interpretations issued by the IFRS Interpretations Committee ("IFRICs") or the former Standing Interpretations Committee ("SICs"). The Company's significant accounting policies are described in note 3 and a reconciliation to Canadian Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for June 30, 2011 and July 1, 2010 can be found in note 26 of the Company's audited annual consolidated financial statements.

The significant balance sheet impacts resulting from the transition are

- Recognition of derivative liabilities for warrants (\$13,198,120);
- Reversal of the change in functional currency other comprehensive income (\$1,326,894);
- Recognition of additional cost due the purchase of a ball mill from a related party (\$2,514,055);
- Recognition of derivative liabilities for gold inducement (\$4,636,523); and
- Reassessment of the asset retirement obligation leading to a liability increase of \$1,665,840.

The income statement impact of the above was a \$5,469,382 gain on derivative warrant liabilities, a loss of \$4,636,523 on derivative liabilities for gold inducement and a charge of \$68,268 to the accretion of the asset retirement obligation. The related party transaction and functional currency change did not result in a change to the income statement.

1.13 Financial Instruments – Risk Exposure and Other instruments

The Company's financial instruments are classified as financial assets - loans and receivables (cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable), financial liabilities – other financial liabilities (accounts payable, accrued liabilities) and the financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss (forward purchase contracts, foreign currency share purchase warrants, convertible note inducement option).

The Company's financial instruments are exposed to certain financial risks, including market risk, credit risk, and liquidity risk.

Market risk

Market risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market prices. Market risk is comprised of three types of risk: foreign currency risk, price risk and interest rate risk.

Foreign Currency risk

The Company is exposed to foreign currency risk to the extent financial instruments held by the Company are not denominated in US dollars.

The convertible notes, gold forward inducement and foreign currency share purchase warrants contain a number of derivative components that would cause exposure to foreign currency risk. The Company has not hedged any of its currency risks. The derivative components associated to foreign currency fluctuation are fair valued at each period and gains or losses are recorded to its income (refer to note 13 and 14 of the annual financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2012).

Based on the above net exposures as at June 30, 2012 and assuming that all other variables remain constant, a 5% depreciation or appreciation of the RM against the US dollar would result in an increase/decrease of approximately \$220,048 (June 30, 2011 – \$402,857) in the Company's net income, depreciation or appreciation of the CAD against US dollar would result in an increase/decrease of approximately \$428,810 (June 30, 2011 – \$1,300,048) in net income.

Commodity price risk

The Company values the contract inducement derivative liabilities (Note 13) at fair value, which is based, in part, on the gold forward market price discounted to the reporting date during the vesting period and at gold market spot price at the reporting date after the inducement option has been vested. The Company values the gold forward purchase agreement at the gold forward purchase price for undelivered gold ounces. As at June 30, 2012 and assuming that all other variables remain constant, a 5% increase/decrease in the gold market price would result in unrealized fair value loss/income of approximately \$377,517 (June 30, 2011 - \$605,643) in the Company's net income.

Other price risk

Other price risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market prices other than those arising from interest rate risk or foreign currency risk.

The Company values the warrant derivative liabilities at fair value using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and record gains and losses to other income. As at June 30, 2012 and assuming that all other variables remain constant, a 5% increase/decrease in the market price of the Company's shares would result in unrealized fair value loss/income of approximately \$68,948 (June 30, 2011 - \$193,000) in the Company's net income.

Interest rate risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate due to changes in market interest rates. Generally, the Company's interest income will be reduced during sustained periods of lower interest rates as higher yielding cash equivalents and short-term investments mature and the proceeds are reinvested at lower interest rates. The converse situation will have a positive impact on interest income.

To limit interest rate risk, the Company uses a restrictive investment policy. The fair value of the investments of financial instruments included in cash and cash equivalents is relatively unaffected by changes in short-term interest rates. The investments are generally held to maturity and changes in short-term interest rates do not have a material effect on the Company's operations.

Credit risk

Credit risk is the risk of a loss if a customer or third party to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations. The carrying amount of financial assets recorded in the financial statements, net of any allowances for losses, represents the Company's maximum exposure to credit risk.

The Company's credit risk is attributable to its liquid financial assets. The Company established an investment policy to avoid any investments other than government t-bills, term deposits guaranteed by major Canadian financial institutions, or term deposits at major Malaysian banks which are guaranteed by the Malaysian government.

The Company's credit risk on the trade accounts receivable is negligible and the balances were collected subsequent to end of reporting period.

The Company is exposed to concentration of credit risk with respect to cash and cash equivalents in the amount of \$1,566,982 (June 30, 2011 – \$33,763,000) is held with a Malaysian financial institution. The amount of \$17,832,589 (June 30, 2011 – \$15,403,000) is held with a Canadian financial institution.

Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they fall due. The Company manages liquidity risk through budgeting and forecasting cash flows to ensure it has sufficient cash to meet its short-term requirements for operations, business development and other contractual obligations. The Company's cash and cash equivalents are highly liquid and immediately available on demand for the Company's use. The Company's convertible notes are due to be settled in August 2015.

1.14 Outstanding Share Data

The following details the share capital structure as at September 28, 2012, the date of this MD&A.

| Exercise Price, | Number of common shares | Expiry date |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Common shares | 209,158,030 | |
| Common shares stock options | | |
| CAD 0.40 | 13,160,000 | Aug 15, 2013 |
| CAD 0.25 | 915,501 | Dec 05, 2013 |
| CAD 0.40 | 230,000 | Dec 05, 2013 |
| CAD 0.50 | 400,000 | Dec 05, 2013 |
| CAD 0.25 | 300,000 | Feb 09, 2014 |
| CAD 0.30 | 1,600,000 | Jun 08, 2013 |
| CAD 0.30 | 500,000 | Jun 10, 2015 |
| CAD 0.42 | 3,000,000 | Sep 29, 2015 |
| CAD 0.60 | 600,000 | Nov 30, 2015 |
| CAD 0.68 | 20,000 | Jan 27, 2016 |
| CAD 0.62 | 150,000 | Jul 28, 2016 |
| CAD 0.61 | 150,000 | Aug 29, 2016 |
| CAD 0.42 | 1,000,000 | Jan 11, 2017 |
| CAD 0.45 | 180,000 | Mar 7, 2017 |
| Total | 22,205,501 | |
| Convertible note to shares | | |
| CAD 0.40 | 20,000,000 | Aug 11, 2015 |
| Total | 20,000,000 | |
| Share purchase warrants | | |
| CAD 0.50 | 5,000,000 | Aug 11, 2015 |
| CAD 0.50 | 20,000,000 | Aug 11, 2015 |
| Total | 25,000,000 | |

1.15 Risks and Uncertainties

Monument Mining Limited is an exploration, development and gold production company which looks for gold resources. The exploration for and development of mineral deposits involves significant risks, which even a combination of careful evaluation, experience and knowledge may not eliminate. While the discovery of a mineral deposit may result in substantial rewards, few properties which are explored are ultimately developed into production. Major expenses may be required after initial acquisition investment to establish ore reserves, to develop metallurgical processes and to construct mining and processing facilities at a particular site. It is impossible to ensure that the current exploration programs planned by the Company will result in the discovery of mineral resources or a profitable commercial mining operation, and, on an industry statistical basis, it is unlikely that an economic operation will be developed.

Whether a mineral deposit, if ever discovered, will be commercially viable depends on a number of factors, some of which are the particular attributes of the deposit, such as size, grade and proximity to infrastructure together impact on mine ability and recoverability, as well as metal prices which are highly cyclical and government regulations, including regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land tenure, land use, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection. The exact effect of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but the combination of these factors may result in the Company not receiving an adequate return on invested capital.

The Company has commenced gold production at its Selinsing gold mine and is currently generating a positive cash flow. The profitability of the production is depending on various factors, however, and may not be controllable by the Company.

Some major risks associated with the business are, but are not limited to, the following:

Title to mineral property interests

Although the Company has taken steps to verify the title to its mineral property interests, in accordance with industry standards for the current stage of exploration of such properties, these procedures do not guarantee the Company's title. Property title may be subject to unregistered prior agreements or transfers and title may be affected by undetected defect. To the best of the Company's knowledge, title to its properties is in good standing except that a prospecting exploration permit previously held by Star Destiny Sdn. Bhd. expired on September 23, 2012 and the application for renewal was submitted to the authority in compliance with the Malaysian Mining Enactment 2001 and applications for several mining leases over the prospecting permit were also registered.

Realization of assets

Mineral property interests comprise a significant portion of the Company's assets. Realization of the Company's investment in these assets is dependent upon the establishment of legal ownership, obtaining of permits, satisfaction of governmental requirements and possible aboriginal claims, attainment of successful production from the properties or from the proceeds of their disposal.

Reserves and resource estimates

There is a degree of uncertainty attributable to the calculation of reserves and resources and the corresponding grades. Reserve and resource estimates are dependent partially on statistical information drawn from drilling, sampling and other data. Reserve and resource figures set forth by the Company are estimates, and there is no certainty that the mineral deposits would yield the production of metals indicated by reserve and resource estimates. Declines in the market price for metals may adversely affect the economics of a deposit and may require the Company to reduce its estimates.

Profitability from Production

The profitability of mining companies depends, in part, on the actual costs of developing and operating mines, which may differ significantly from estimates determined at the time a relevant mining project was approved or ongoing projection. The development of mining projects may also be subject to unexpected problems and delays that could increase the cost of development and the ultimate operating cost of the relevant project. Monument's decision to acquire, develop a mineral property and operate production is based on estimates made as to the expected or anticipated project economic returns. These estimates are based on assumptions regarding:

- future gold prices;
- anticipated tonnage, grades and metallurgical characteristics of ore to be mined and processed;
- anticipated recovery rates of gold extracted from the ore;
- anticipated material and spares cost associated to production, and
- anticipated capital expenditure and cash operating costs.

Actual cash operating costs, production and economic returns may differ significantly from those anticipated by such estimates.

Environmental

Environmental legislation is becoming increasingly stringent and costs and expenses of regulatory compliance are increasing. The impact of new and future environmental legislation on the Company's operations may cause additional expenses and restrictions. If the restrictions adversely affect the scope of exploration and development on the mineral properties, the potential for production on the property may be diminished or negated.

The Company is subject to the laws and regulations relating to environmental matters in all jurisdictions in which it operates, including provisions relating to property reclamation, discharge of hazardous material and other matters. The Company may also be held liable should environmental problems be discovered that were caused by former owners and operators of its properties and properties in which it has previously had an interest. The Company conducts its mineral exploration activities in compliance with applicable environmental protection legislation. The Company is not aware of any existing environmental problems related to any of its current properties.

Additional funding for building project pipelines

The Company continues seeking new acquisition targets so as to increase its resource base. Additional capital may be required from time to time to fund such acquisitions and development in order to fulfill its business strategy. The additional capital may come from public market, debt financing and cash flow generated from current production, which are largely influenced by integrated world and regional economies which are out of the Company's control. The management has successfully mitigated those risks in the past through exercise of due care, experiences and knowledge; however, those factors do not guarantee such risks will be successfully mitigated into the future.

Foreign Operations

The Company's properties are located in Malaysia, South-East Asia. Malaysia had a history of tin mines and currently has some gold mine operations at the central gold belt in Pahang State. In 2010, the increase in revenue from gold mine operations has brought mining to the government's attention. The Company has historically received strong support from the local, state and federal governments for its gold mine development and operation. However, the political risk is considered external and not at the control of the Company.

The Company's mineral exploration and mining activities may be affected in varying degrees by certain risks associated with foreign ownership including inflation, political instability, political conditions and government regulations. Any changes in regulations or shifts in political conditions are beyond the Company's control and may adversely affect the Company's business. Operations may be affected by government regulations with respect to restrictions on foreign exchange and repatriation, price controls, export controls, restriction of earnings distribution, taxation laws, expropriation of property, environmental legislation, water use, mine safety and renegotiation or nullification of existing concessions, licenses, permits, and contracts.

The regulations the Company shall comply with include, but are not limited to, the Mineral Enactment Act 2001, Mineral Development Act 2004, Environmental Quality Regulations 1978, The Planning Guideline for Environmental Noise Limit and Controls, Factories and Machinery Act 1967 and Occupational Safety and Health Act 1994.

Failure to strictly comply with applicable laws, regulations and local practices relating to mineral rights applications and tenure could result in loss, reduction or expropriation of entitlements, or closure of operations. The occurrence of these various factors and uncertainties cannot be accurately predicted and could have an adverse effect on the Company's operations or profitability.

1.16 Disclosure Controls and Internal Controls over Financial Reporting***Disclosure Controls and Procedures***

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that all relevant information is gathered and reported to senior management, including the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and the Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") on a timely basis so that appropriate decisions can be made regarding public disclosure.

An evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of disclosure controls and procedures was conducted as of June 30, 2011, by and under the supervision of the CEO and CFO. Based on this evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Canada by Multilateral Instrument 52-109, Certification of Disclosure in Issuers' Annual and Interim Filings, are effective to ensure that (i) information required to be disclosed in reports that are filed or submitted under Canadian securities legislation and the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in those rules and forms; and (ii) material information relating to the Company is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the CEO and CFO, or persons performing similar functions.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements in accordance with Canadian GAAP. Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal controls over financial reporting for the Company.

The Company's management, including the CEO and CFO, has evaluated the effectiveness of the internal controls over financial reporting. Based on this evaluation, management has concluded that internal controls over financial reporting were designed effectively as of June 30, 2012.

As a result of this review, it was determined that there were no changes in internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the internal controls over financial reporting except the following weakness.

Inventory control:

The Company's Inventory system is operated manually, therefore, it is exposed to risk of human errors and is not considered efficient. Inventory software was purchased but the development has not been completed. The Company has engaged a consulting firm to design an inventory system during the three months ended December 31, 2011. The work is in progress.

Gold Security:

In March 2012, the Company has broken up a gold stealing syndicate at the Selinsing Gold Mine in Malaysia. The Company has called in the Malaysian Police for an immediate investigation and engaged Gold Security Group ("GSG") from Perth, Australia to assist local police investigation, conduct security audit in identifying weakness of control over security and assist to design a standard gold security

procedure in order to strengthen security measures at the gold mine. In the opinion of the Company, this incident will not impact the production performance for the year ended June 30, 2012.

While the Company's CEO and CFO believe that the Company's internal controls over financial reporting provide a reasonable level of assurance that they are effective, they do not believe that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures or internal control over financial reporting will prevent all errors and fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived or operated, can only provide reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met.

NON-GAAP PERFORMANCE MEASURES

The Company has included the non-GAAP performance measure "unit cash cost per ounce sold". This non-GAAP performance measure does not have any standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and, therefore, may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other companies. This measure is used by management to identify profitability trends and to assess cash generating capability from the sale of gold on a consolidated basis in each reporting period, expressed on a per unit basis. The Company believes that, in addition to conventional measures prepared in accordance with IFRS, certain investors use this information to evaluate the Company's performance. Accordingly, unit cash cost per ounce of gold sold is intended to provide additional information and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures of performance prepared using IFRS. More specifically, management believes that these figures are a useful indicator to investors and management of a mine's performance as they provide: (i) a measure of the mine's cash margin per ounce, by comparison of the cash operating costs per ounce to the price of gold, (ii) the trend in costs as the mine matures and, (iii) an internal benchmark of performance to allow for comparison against other mines. Total cash cost includes mine site operating costs such as mining, processing, administration and royalties, offset by sales of silver by-product, but is exclusive of amortization, depletion, reclamation, capital costs, exploration costs and corporate administration costs.

Caution on Forward Looking Statements

All statements, other than statements of historical fact, contained or incorporated by reference in this Management's Discussion and Analysis, but not limited to, any information as to the future financial or operating performance of Monument, constitute "forward-looking information" or "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of certain securities laws, including the provisions of the Securities Act (Ontario) and are based on expectations, estimates and projections as of the date of this Management's Discussion and Analysis. Forward-looking statements include, without limitation, possible events, statements with respect to possible events, estimates of construction, commissioning and production of the gold treatment plant at Selinsing Gold Mine Project; exploration results and budgets, mineral reserve and resource estimates; capital expenditures; strategic plans; proposed financing transactions, the timing and amount of estimated future production, costs of production, success of exploration, development and mining activities, permitting timelines, estimates of fair value of financial instruments, currency fluctuations, requirements for additional capital, government regulation and permitting of mining operations and development projects, environmental risks, unanticipated reclamation expenses, title disputes or claims and limitations on insurance coverage. The words "plans", "expects" or "does not expect", "is expected", "budget", "scheduled", "estimates", "forecasts", "guidance", "targets", "models", "intends", "anticipates", or "does not anticipate", or "believes", or variations of such words and phrases or statements that certain actions, events or results "may", "could", "would", "should", "might", or "will be taken", "occur" or "be achieved" and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are necessarily based upon a number of estimates and assumptions that, while considered reasonable by Monument as of the date of such statements, are inherently subject to significant business, political, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies. The estimates and assumptions of Monument contained or incorporated by reference in this Management's Discussion and Analysis, which may prove to be incorrect, include, but are not limited to, the various assumptions set forth herein, or as otherwise expressly incorporated herein by reference as well as: (1) there being no significant disruptions affecting operations, whether due to labour disruptions, supply disruptions, power disruptions, damage to equipment or otherwise; (2) permitting, development, operations, expansion and acquisitions at Malaysia (including, without limitation, land acquisitions for and permitting and construction of new tailings facilities) being consistent with our current expectations; (3) development of the Phase III plant expansion on a basis consistent with Monument's current expectations; (4) the viability, permitting and exploration of Mengapur project being consistent with Monument's current expectations; (5) political developments in Malaysian jurisdiction in which the Company operates being consistent with its current expectations; (6) the exchange rate between the Canadian dollar, Malaysian ringgit, Australian dollar and the U.S. dollar being approximately consistent with current levels; (7) certain price assumptions for gold; (8) prices for natural gas, fuel oil, electricity and other key supplies being approximately consistent with current levels; (9) production and cost of sales forecasts for Selinsing operations meeting expectations; (10) the accuracy of current mineral reserve and mineral resource estimates for the Company and any entity in which it now or hereafter directly or indirectly holds an interest; (11) labour and materials costs increasing on a basis consistent with Monument's current expectations. Known and unknown factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. Such factors include, but are not limited to: fluctuations in the currency markets; fluctuations in the spot and forward price of gold or certain other commodities (such as diesel fuel and electricity); changes in interest rates that could impact the mark-to-market value of outstanding derivative instruments; risks arising from holding derivative instruments (such as credit risk, market liquidity risk and mark-to-market risk); changes in national and local government legislation, taxation, controls, regulations and political or economic developments in Canada, Malaysia or other countries in which the Company conducts business or may carry on business in the future; business opportunities that may be presented to, or pursued by, the Company; the Company's ability to successfully integrate



MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS

For the year ended June 30, 2012
(in United States dollars, except where noted)

Form51-102F

acquisitions; operating or technical difficulties in connection with mining or development activities; employee relations; the speculative nature of gold exploration and development, including the risks of obtaining necessary licenses and permits; diminishing quantities or grades of reserves; adverse changes in our credit rating; and contests over title to properties, particularly title to undeveloped properties. In addition, there are risks and hazards associated with the business of gold exploration, development and mining, including environmental hazards, industrial accidents, unusual or unexpected formations, pressures, cave-ins, flooding and gold bullion losses (and the risk of inadequate insurance, or the inability to obtain insurance, to cover these risks). Many of these uncertainties and contingencies can affect, and could cause, Monument' actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in any forward-looking statements made by, or on behalf of, Monument. There can be no assurance that forward-looking statements will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Forward-looking statements are provided for the purpose of providing information about management's expectations and plans relating to the future. All of the forward-looking statements made in this Management's Discussion and Analysis are qualified by these cautionary statements and those made in our other filings with the securities regulators of Canada including, but not limited to, the cautionary statements made in the "Risk Factors" section. These factors are not intended to represent a complete list of the factors that could affect Monument. Monument disclaims any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements or to explain any material difference between subsequent actual events and such forward-looking statements, except to the extent required by applicable law.

Other information

Where we say "we", "us", "our", the "Company", or "Monument" in this Management's Discussion and Analysis, we mean Monument Mining Limited and/or one or more or all of its subsidiaries, as may be applicable. The technical information about the Company's material mineral properties contained in this Management's Discussion and Analysis has been prepared under the supervision of Snowden Mining Industry Consultants company who is a "qualified person" within the meaning of National Instrument 43-101.